

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/642,577	08/19/2003	Tetsuya Yoshida	Q76401	2953
	7590 09/27/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			WOODWARD, A	NA LUCRECIA
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	1711
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/07/200	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/642,577	YOSHIDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation	Ana L. Woodward	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.130 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(IS SET TO EXPIRE MON 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status ,	. / /					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/(2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This at 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Experience.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 120 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected: 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (have been received. have been received in Applicatio y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n No d in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) (i) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/13/04 Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	PTO-413) e tent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/642,577

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 5-7, 11-16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 5-7, it is unclear if or how "a" content limits the polyetherimide.

In claims 11-16, "organized by an organizing agent" is indefinite as to scope and meaning.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.
 6,252,011 (Scott) in view of EP 1199333 and further in view of U.S. 5,747,560 (Christiani et al).

Scott discloses blends of polyetherimides, reading on applicants' polyetherimide, with polyesters of 2-6 napthalenedicarboxylic acid, reading on applicants' polymer containing polyethylene naphthalate units.

Scott differs in essence from the present claims in not expressly disclosing the use of an inorganic filler. At column 6, lines 22-24, however, Scott disclose that conventional additives, which are considered generic to inorganic fillers, can also be incorporated into the formulations.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,577

Art Unit: 1711

The addition of conventional inorganic fillers, such as mica and clays, in polyetherimide-polyester compositions is well known in the art as per EP '333 (page 11, line 50). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add inorganic fillers, such as mica or other clays, for their expected additive effect into the formulations of Scott with the reasonable expectation of success.

With respect to the claims requiring a swellable, lamellar silicate as the inorganic filler, it would have been obvious to use the inorganic mica or clay in the form of a swellable-layered material because they are known to have superior properties as per Christiani et al. Accordingly, absent evidence of unusual or unexpected results, no patentability can be seen in the presently claimed invention.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,577

Art Unit: 1711

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ana L. Woodward

Examiner Art Unit 1711

AW